UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No	. EDCV 22-179	7 FWS (KS)	Date: February 27, 2023	
Title	Henry Navarro v	v. San Bernadino Sheriffs Departments Medical Staff et al.		
Present: The Honorable: Karen L. Stevenson, United States Magistrate Judge			son, United States Magistrate Judge	
	-			
	Gay Robe	rson		
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter / Recorder	
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:			Attorneys Present for Defendants:	

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL

Plaintiff, a California inmate proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*, commenced this civil rights action on October 11, 2022 by filing his original Complaint. (Dkt. No. 1.) Plaintiff is incarcerated at High Desert Detention Center in Adelanto, California, a facility operated by the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department. (Dkt. No. 1 at 1); *also available at* http://wp.sbcounty.gov/sheriff/corrections/high-desert-detention-center (last visited on February 27, 2023). Plaintiff alleges that he suffered a broken toe and that San Bernardino County Sheriff medical staff failed to follow a prescribed course of treatment for his injury. (*Id.*)

On October 25, 2022, the Court issued a Memorandum and Order dismissing the Complaint for the failure to state an Eighth Amendment claim of deliberate indifference, and for failing to state a claim against state officials acting in their official capacities. (Dkt. No. 5.) The Court granted Plaintiff leave to amend the Complaint to correct the identified deficiencies. (*Id.* at 11.)

On November 28, 2022, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC"). (Dkt. No. 7.) The FAC was in most respects identical to the original Complaint. On January 9, 2023, the Court issued a second Memorandum and Order dismissing the FAC for the failure to state an Eighth Amendment claim of deliberate indifference, and again, for failing to state a claim against state officials acting in their official capacities. (Dkt. No. 9.) The Court granted Plaintiff leave to amend the FAC to correct the identified deficiencies "one

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	EDCV 22-1797 FWS (KS)	Date: February 27, 2023			
Title	le <u>Henry Navarro v. San Bernadino Sheriffs Departments Medical Staff et al.</u>				
Complai that his f	e." (<i>Id.</i> at 4, 12-13.) Plaintiff was grante nt ("SAC"), i.e., to February 8, 2023. (<i>Id.</i> a failure to timely comply with the Court's Jan sult in a recommendation of dismissal." (<i>Id.</i>	tt 12.) The Court admonished Plaintiff nuary 9, 2023 Memorandum and Order			
file a SA requested Rules of "fails to could pro	early three weeks have now passed since P.C correcting the identified deficiencies, and an additional extension of time to do so. Civil Procedure, an action may be subject prosecute or to comply with these rules or a operly recommend dismissal of the action for Court's January 9, 2023 Memorandum and	d Plaintiff has neither filed a SAC nor Pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal to involuntary dismissal if a plaintiff a court order." Accordingly, the Court for Plaintiff's failure to timely comply			
on or be to prosec or befor	owever, in the interests of justice, Plaintiff fore March 20, 2023, why the Court show that To discharge this Order and proceed we the March 20, 2023 deadline, a Second dentified in the Court's January 9, 2023 Median control of the Court of t	uld not dismiss this action for failure with this action, Plaintiff must file, on Amended Complaint correcting the			
	laintiff's failure to timely comply wi endation of dismissal.	th this order WILL result in a			
	he Clerk is directed to send Plaintiff a condum and Order (Dkt. No. 9) and the Cont form.				
without 1	Plaintiff no longer wishes to pursue this a prejudice by filing a signed document entito Rule 41(a).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
ľ	Γ IS SO ORDERED.				
					
		Initials of Prenarer gr			